

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/896,392	06/29/2001	Mitchell Franklin White	9742/RH7	1008	
75	7590 09/13/2006		EXAMINER		
K. Jung			GOTTSCHALK, MARTIN A		
Long Aldridge	& Norman LLP				
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6th Floor Washington, DC 20004			3626		
			DATE MAILED: 09/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/896,392	WHITE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Martin A. Gottschalk	3626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	ne 2001					
·= · ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Disposition of Claims			`			
4) Claim(s) 1-103 is/are pending in the application).					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-103</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
			•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<u> </u>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		,			

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-103 have been examined.

Specification '

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

A. As for the current case, the abstract is longer than 150 words and repeats information given in the title. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3626

- 4. Claims 1-25, 44-65, 77-86, 93-95, and 101-103 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lockwood (US Pat# 4,567,359).
- A. As per claim 1, Lockwood discloses a method of providing an offer of insurance for an applicant in real-time using an automated offer generation system (Lockwood: col 2, lns 8-18), the method comprising the steps of:
 - a) receiving applicant specified information associated with the applicant (Lockwood: col 6, In 41 to col 7, In 7; Fig 2);
 - b) establishing an initial connection to an initial information source from a set of available information sources (e.g. col 7, lns 61-68; Fig 1, e.g. lines connecting to item 22 from within item box 1, and also from item 3);
 - c) transmitting an initial request for applicant relevant information from the offer generation system to the initial information source via the established initial connection, wherein the initial transmitted request comprises at least a portion of the received applicant specified information (for steps b and c, Lockwood: col 7, lns 61-68);

Art Unit: 3626

d) receiving applicant relevant information from the initial information source (Lockwood: col 7, Ins 61-68, reads on "locates the appropriate rating information");

e) generating an offer of insurance based at least in part upon the applicant information selected from the group consisting of

received applicant specified information (Lockwood: col 7, Ins 61-68, reads on "makes an insurance calculation"),

received applicant relevant information

and

combinations thereof;

and

f) transmitting the generated offer to an offer output device associated with the applicant (Lockwood: col 5, Ins 16-19, reads on "...printed out...over the printer unit."; col 8, In 2).

Art Unit: 3626

B. As per claim 2, Lockwood discloses the method of claim 1, and further comprising the step of transmitting a request for applicant specified information to a second output device associated with the applicant (Lockwood: col 5, lns 7-10, reads on "displayed on the monitor screen.").

C. As per claim 3, Lockwood discloses the method of claim 2,

wherein the step of transmitting the request for applicant specified information comprises

transmitting a form into which the applicant may enter the applicant specified information (Lockwood: col 6, Ins 51-59)

and

wherein the step of receiving the applicant specified information comprises the steps of

receiving a completed form into which the applicant specified information has been entered (Lockwood: col 7, lns 5-10)

Art Unit: 3626

and

parsing the applicant specified information from the transmitted form (Lockwood: col 7, Ins 61-64, reads on "determines the type of quote requested," which is parsed from the information provided in col 6, Ins 51-59).

D. As per claims 4 and 44, Lockwood discloses the method of claim 2, wherein the second output device associated with the applicant is selected from the group consisting of

a computer (Lockwood: col 4, Ins 33-51),

a telephone,

a facsimile machine

and combinations thereof.

E. As per claim 5, Lockwood discloses the method of claim 4, wherein the second output device comprises

Art Unit: 3626

a computer

and

wherein the computer comprises a display device selected from the group consisting of

a monitor,

a speaker,

a tactile display,

a printer

and combinations thereof (Lockwood: col 4, Ins 33-51).

F. As per claim 6, Lockwood discloses the method of claim 2, wherein

the second output device is the offer output device (Lockwood: col 5, Ins 16-18, reads on "...will be displayed...").

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/896,392

Art Unit: 3626

G. As per claim 7, Lockwood discloses the method of claim 1, and further comprising the steps of

g) receiving payment information (Lockwood: col 7, lns 25-40)

and

- h) processing the received payment information to receive compensation based upon the transmitted offer (Lockwood: col 8, Ins 3-11).
- H. As per claim 8 and 11, Lockwood discloses the method of claim 7 and 10 respectively, wherein the payment information comprises a payment type selected from the group consisting of

charge card (Lockwood: col 7, Ins 26-27),

debit card,

direct bank account withdrawal,

electronic fund transfer

Art Unit: 3626

and

combinations thereof.

- I. As per claim 9, 10, 12, and 13, Lockwood discloses
- (claim 9) the method of claim 1, and further comprising the step of
 - g) receiving an acceptance signal indicating acceptance of the transmitted offer (Lockwood: col 7, lns 17-24).
- (claim 10) the method of claim 9, wherein the acceptance signal comprises payment information and further comprising the step of
 - h) processing the received payment information to receive compensation based upon the accepted offer (Lockwood: col 8, Ins 3-11).
- (claim 12) the method of claim 10, and further comprising the step of

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/896,392

Art Unit: 3626

i) delivering a policy to the applicant drawn in accordance with the accepted offer (Lockwood: col 8, lns 12-19).

(claim 13) the method of claim 9, and further comprising the step of

h) delivering a policy to the applicant drawn in accordance with the offer (Lockwood: col 8, lns 12-19).

J. As per claim 14-16, Lockwood discloses

(claim 14) the method of claim 1, and further comprising the step of

- h) selecting the information source to which to establish the connection (Lockwood: col 7, Ins 61-65).
- (claim 15) the method of claim 14, wherein the step of selecting the information source comprises accessing a data store comprising information source addressing information representing at least one information source (Lockwood: col 7, Ins 61-65, Fig 1, boxes connected to item 22).

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 09/896,392

Art Unit: 3626

(claim 16) the method of claim 15, wherein the data store comprises information source addressing information representing a plurality of information sources (Lockwood: col 7, Ins 61-65, Fig 1, boxes connected to item 22).

K. As per claim 17-19, Lockwood discloses

(claim 17) the method of claim 1, and further comprising the step of

h) establishing a further connection to a further information source from the set of available information sources;

i) transmitting a further request for applicant relevant information from the offer generation system to the further information source via the further established connection,

wherein the further transmitted request comprises applicant information selected from the group consisting of

previously received applicant relevant information,

received applicant specified information

Art Unit: 3626

and

combination thereof;

j) receiving further applicant relevant information from the further information source (for steps h and i, and j, Lockwood: col 8, lns 3-6);

and

- k) aggregating the received further applicant relevant information with any prior received applicant relevant information (Lockwood: col 8, lns 9-11; col 7, ln 68 to col 8, ln 2).
- (claim 18) the method of claim 17, and further comprising the step of
 - 1) repeating steps h) through k) for each of a selected subset of the set of available information sources (Lockwood: col 7, In 61 to col 8, In 9, i.e. if payment is rejected, process stops).
- (claim 19) the method of claim 18, and further comprising the step of

Art Unit: 3626

1) repeating steps h) through k) for each information source in the set of available information sources (Lockwood: col 7, ln 61 to col 8, ln 19).

L. As per claim 20-24, Lockwood discloses

- (claim 20) the method of claim 1, wherein the step of establishing the connection comprises the step of attempting to open the connection to the information source via a communication channel.
- (claim 21) the method of claim 20, wherein the communication channel for attempting to open the connection is based upon addressing information associated with the information source.
- (claim 22) the method of claim 20, wherein the communication channel is selected from the group consisting of: computer network, direct serial or parallel connection, dial-up connection, dedicated line connection, wireless connection, bus connection and combinations thereof.
- (claim 23) the method of claim 22, wherein the communication channel is the Internet.

Art Unit: 3626

(claim 24) the method of claim 1, wherein the step of transmitting the request comprises transmitting the request via a protocol selected from the group consisting of HTTP, HTTPS, SMTP, FTP, BLUETOOTH, GOPHER and WAIS.

For steps 20-24, Lockwood, note the network description provided in col 4, Ins 1-16, which includes a computer network whose connections constitute communication channels. One disclosed embodiment incorporates the use of TELENET®, an Internet based network, which as such, utilizes various Internet protocols such as HTTP.

M. As per claim 25, Lockwood discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of generating the offer of insurance comprises the step of

generating a rate component of the offer of insurance (Lockwood: col 7, Ins 10-13).

N. As per claims 45-65, 77-86, 93-95, and 101-103, they are rejected as per the corresponding claims for claims 1-25, and 44.

Art Unit: 3626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 26-43, 66-76, and 87-100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lockwood as applied to claim 26 above, and further in view of Lloyd et al (US Pat# 4,876,648, hereinafter Lloyd).
- A. As per claim 26, Lockwood fails to disclose the features of the claim, however, these features are well known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Lloyd who teaches

the method of claim 25, wherein the step of generating the rate component comprises the steps of:

Art Unit: 3626

i) determining an underwriting tier for the applicant based upon applicant information selected from the group consisting of

received applicant specified information (Lloyd: col 19, lns 45-49),

received applicant relevant information

and

combinations thereof;

ii) retrieving a base rate based upon the determined underwriting tier(Lloyd: col 29, Ins 16-18);

and

iii) calculating the rate component based upon the base rate and applicant information selected from the group consisting of

received applicant specified information (Lloyd: col 29, Ins 19-32),

received applicant relevant information

Art Unit: 3626

and

combinations thereof.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Lloyd with those of Lockwood with the motivation of reducing the expense to sell (Lockwood: col 9, lns 20-25) and administer (Lloyd: col 2, ln 61 to col 3, ln 7) financial services through automation of the relevant processes.

Page 17

Note: In the following claim rejections combining the Lloyd and Lockwood references, the same motivation applies and will not be repeated.

B. As per claim 27, Lockwood fails to disclose the features of the claim, however, these features are well known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Lloyd who teaches

the method of claim 26, wherein the step of generating the rate component further comprises the steps of

iv) deriving an adjustment to the retrieved base rate based at least in part upon

Art Unit: 3626

applicant information selected from the group consisting of

received applicant specified information,

received applicant relevant information

and

combinations thereof

and

a dynamic pricing factor based upon analysis of analytic information selected from the group consisting of

demand level,

cost (Lloyd: Fig 6; col 29, Ins 16-22, i.e. the adjustment is based on applicant specified information such as "smoker", and cost. The cost of insurance is a dynamic pricing factor,

Art Unit: 3626

see col 25, lns 51-57. The adjustment is deducted from the base rate in order to calculate the rate.),

return on assets

and

combinations thereof

and

wherein the step of calculating the rate is further based upon the derived adjustment (see reference provided above).

C. As per claim 28, Lockwood fails to disclose the features of the claim, however, these features are well known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Lloyd who teaches

the method of claim 27, and further comprising the step of

g) generating an adjustment table of dynamic pricing factors based upon the analysis of analytic information

Art Unit: 3626

and

wherein the step of deriving the adjustment comprises the step of

retrieving the adjustment from the generated adjustment table based upon applicant information selected from the group consisting of

received applicant specified information,

received applicant relevant information

and

combinations thereof (for all the features of this claim, see Lloyd: col 27, lns 39-66).

- D. As per claims 34 and 40, they are rejected for the same reasons provided for claim 28.
- E. As per claim 29, Lockwood discloses the method of claim 28,

Art Unit: 3626

wherein the analytic information comprises

```
demand level (Lockwood: col 8, ln 64; col 9, ln 45, reads on "quotation analysis")
```

and

wherein the step of generating the adjustment table

generates the adjustment table based at least in part upon

conversion rates as an indicator of demand level,

wherein the adjustment table generation step comprises the steps of

i) analyzing conversion rates for previous purchasesof insurance products (Lockwood: col 7, In 68 to col 8,In 2, reads on "quotation history");

Art Unit: 3626

ii) forecasting conversion rates for potential further purchases based upon the analyzed conversion rates (Note that the system of Lockwood collects "sales completed" data, col 2, lns 35-44, and quotation information for the purpose of analysis, col 8, ln 68 to col 9, ln 5. The Examiner notes that the ratio of these two types of data results in the calculation of a conversation rate, and that the process of taking rations is very well known.).

As per step iii, Lockwood fails to explicitly disclose the use of adjustment tables as recited in the claim, but this feature is taught by Lloyd as described in the rejection of claim 28.

- F. As per claims 32, 35, and 41, they are rejected for the same reasons provided for claim 29.
- G. As per claim 30, Lockwood discloses the method of claim 25, wherein the step of generating the rate component comprises the steps of:
 - i) determining an offering company from a plurality of available offering companies based upon

Art Unit: 3626

applicant information selected from the group consisting of

received applicant specified information (Lockwood: col 7, Ins 64-

Page 23

68),

received applicant relevant information

and

combinations thereof.

Lockwood fails to explicitly disclose the remaining features of the claim including dynamic pricing and steps ii-iv, however these features are rejected for the reasons for provided in the rejection of claim 26 above.

H. As per claim 31, it is rejected as per the teachings of Lockwood referenced for the rejection of claim 30 above.

I. As per claim 33, Lockwood fails to disclose the features of the claim, however, these features are well known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Lloyd who teaches

Art Unit: 3626

the method of claim 25, wherein the step of generating the rate component comprises the steps of:

i) determining a pricing tier for the applicant based upon applicant information selected from the group consisting of

received applicant specified information (Lloyd: col 27, Ins 39-54, i.e. the "target cash value" is a determinant of the premium, thus the level of target cash is a type of pricing tier),

received applicant relevant information

and

combinations thereof.

Lockwood fails to explicitly disclose the remaining features of the claim including dynamic pricing and steps ii and iii, however these features are rejected for the reasons for provided in the rejection of claim 26 above.

Art Unit: 3626

J. As per claim 36 and 38, Lockwood fails to disclose the features of the claim,

however, these features are well known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of

Lloyd who teaches

the method of claim 25, wherein the step of generating the offer of insurance

further comprises the step of

generating a fee component of the offer of insurance (Lloyd: col 26, Ins

Page 25

26-31).

K. As per claim 37, Lockwood fails to disclose the features of the claim, however,

these features are well known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Lloyd who

teaches

the method of claim 36, wherein the step of generating the offer of insurance

further comprises the step of

adjusting the generated rate component and the generated fee component

based upon applicant information selected from the group consisting of

received applicant specified information (Lloyd: col 27, lns 39-66),

Art Unit: 3626

received applicant relevant information

Page 26

and

combinations thereof.

L. As per claim 39, Lockwood fails to disclose the features of the claim, however, these features are well known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Lloyd.

The "fee for the applicant" feature is rejected as per the corresponding teachings of Lloyd as applied to claims 36 and 37 above. The "dynamic pricing" feature is rejected as per the corresponding teachings of Lloyd as applied to claim 27 above.

- M. As per claim 42 and 43, Lockwood fails to disclose the features of the claim, however, these features are well known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Lloyd who teaches
- (claim 42) the method of claim 1, wherein the step of generating the offer of insurance comprises the step of generating a purchase incentive component of the offer of insurance,

•

Art Unit: 3626

(claim 43)

wherein the purchase incentive component comprises at least one incentive selected from the group consisting of

a discount on the offered insurance product (col 25, lns 32-33, reads on "quantity discounts"),

Page 27

a discount on a third party product or service,

an award in a third-party incentive program,

and

a free third party product or service.

N. As per claims 66-76, and 87-100, they are rejected as per the corresponding claims from claims 26-43.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited but not applied prior art discloses methods and systems for automating the purchase and distribution of insurance and financial

•

Art Unit: 3626

services products; a variety of rate calculations including adjustments to a base rate; and techniques associated with dynamic pricing.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Martin A. Gottschalk whose telephone number is (571) 272-7030. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs 8:30 -6 and alternate Fri 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-6776. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MG

08/04/2006

Art Unit: 3626

C. LUKE GILLIGAN PATENT EXAMINER